arXiv:1409.3467v1 [math.AG] 11 Sep 2014

EQUIVARIANT K-THEORY OF REGULAR COMPACTIFICATIONS: FURTHER DEVELOPMENTS

V. UMA

Abstract. In this article we describe the $\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}$-equivariant K-ring of X, where $\widetilde{G}$ is a *factorial* cover of a connected complex reductive algebraic group G, and X is a regular compactification of G. Furthermore, using the description of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$, we describe the ordinary K-ring $K(X)$ as a free module of rank the cardinality of the Weyl group, over the K-ring of a toric bundle over G/B, with fibre the toric variety $\overline{T}^+$, associated to a smooth subdivision of the positive Weyl chamber. This generalizes our previous work on the wonderful compactification (see [19]). Further, we give an explicit presentation of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ as well as $K(X)$ as an algebra over the $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$ and $K(\overline{G_{ad}})$ respectively, where $\overline{G_{ad}}$ is the wonderful compactification of the adjoint semisimple group G_{ad}. Finally, we identify the equivariant and ordinary Grothendieck ring of X respectively with the corresponding rings of a canonical toric bundle over $\overline{G_{ad}}$ with fiber the toric variety $\overline{T}^+$.

1. Introduction

Let G denote a connected complex reductive algebraic group, $B \subset G$ a Borel subgroup and $T \subset B$ a maximal torus of dimension l. Let C be the center of G and let $G_{ad} := G/C$ be the corresponding semisimple adjoint group. Let W denote the Weyl group of (G, T).

A normal complete variety X is called an *equivariant compactification* of G if X contains G as an open subvariety and the action of $G \times G$ on G by left and right multiplication extends to X. We say that X is a *regular compactification* of G if X is an equivariant compactification of G which is regular as a $G \times G$-variety in the sense of [1, Section 3]. Smooth complete toric varieties are exactly the regular

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 19L47; 14M25, 14M27, 14L10.

Key words and phrases. Equivariant K-theory, regular compactification, wonderful compactification, toric bundle.

compactifications of the torus. For the adjoint group G_{ad}, the wonderful compactification $\overline{G_{ad}}$ constructed by De Concini and Procesi in [8] is the unique regular compactification of G_{ad} with a unique closed $G_{ad} \times G_{ad}$-orbit.

We now recall some facts and notations from [3, Section 3.1].

Let $\overline{T}$ denote the closure of T in X. For the left action of T (i.e. for the action of $T \times \{1\}$), $\overline{T}$ is a smooth complete toric variety. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the fan associated to $\overline{T}$ in $X_*(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a smooth subdivision of the fan associated to the Weyl chambers in $X_*(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{F} = W\mathcal{F}_+$ where $\mathcal{F}_+$ is the subdivision of the positive Weyl chamber formed by the cones in $\mathcal{F}$ contained in this chamber. Let $\overline{T}^+$ denote the smooth toric variety associated to the fan $\mathcal{F}_+$ (for details see [3, Proposition A1 and Proposition A2]).

Recall that there exists an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathcal{Z} \to \widetilde{G} := \widetilde{C} \times G^{ss} \xrightarrow{\pi} G \to 1 \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ is a finite central subgroup, $\widetilde{C}$ is a torus and G^{ss} is semisimple and simply-connected. In particular, $\widetilde{G}$ is *factorial* and $\widetilde{B} := \pi^{-1}(B)$ and $\widetilde{T} := \pi^{-1}(T)$ are respectively a Borel subgroup and a maximal torus of $\widetilde{G}$. (see [14, Corollary 3.7] and [15]).

In this article we consider the $\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}$-equivariant K-ring of X. We take the natural action of $\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}$ on X through the canonical surjection to $G \times G$. Here we mention that the main purpose of going to the factorial cover $\widetilde{G}$ of G, is in order to apply the description of the $\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}$-equivariant K-ring to describe the ordinary K-ring of X. Indeed, the relation of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ to ordinary K-theory is simpler than that of $K_{G\times G}(X)$, in view of the results of [15].

Recall that, in [19, Section 3], taking G to be the simply connected cover of G_{ad} and T a maximal torus of G, we describe the $G \times G$-equivariant K-ring of $\overline{G_{ad}}$. More precisely, we give $K_{G\times G}(\overline{G_{ad}})$ an $R(T) \otimes R(G)$-algebra structure and determine the multiplication rule explicitly (see [19, Theorem 3.8]). We further apply this structure to describe the ordinary Grothendieck ring $K(X)$ as a $K(G/B)$-algebra (see [19, Theorem 3.12]).

Our primary aim in this article is to generalize the above mentioned results on the wonderful compactification to any regular compactification X. With this aim in view, we first give a description of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ as a free module of rank $|W|$ over a canonical subring isomorphic to $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \otimes R(\widetilde{G})$ (see Theorem 2.2). Here $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)$ is the $\widetilde{T}$-equivariant

K-ring of the toric variety $\overline{T}^+$, which is further identified with the subring of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ generated by $Pic_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$, as an $R(\widetilde{G})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-algebra. Furthermore, we determine a canonical basis for $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ as $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-module, and describe the multiplication rule of the basis elements (see Theorem 2.4). In particular, when X is the wonderful compactification of G_{ad}, the toric variety $\overline{T_{ad}}^+$ is the affine space $\mathbb{A}^r$, so that $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T_{ad}}^+)$ can be identified with $R(\widetilde{T})$. Thus we get back [19, Theorem 3.8] in this case. Note that in the current notation, $\widetilde{G}$ denotes the simply connected cover of G_{ad} and $\widetilde{T}\subseteq\widetilde{G}$ is a maximal torus.

We bring to notice here that [19, Section 2] contains general results on $G\times G$-equivariant K-ring of a regular embedding, which are closely related to the results in this paper. In particular, Proposition 2.1 in this article is an extension of [19, Proposition 2.5] to the setting of $\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}$-equivariant K-theory (see [19, Remark 2.7]). Moreover, in Proposition 2.1, we in fact show that we have the inclusion $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)\subseteq K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$, as an $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-algebra. This is sharper than the inclusion $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)\subseteq R(\widetilde{T})^{\mathcal{F}_+(l)}\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$, analogous to that in [19, Proposition 2.5]. Indeed, the inclusion $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)\subseteq K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$, is key in proving Theorem 2.2, that generalizes [19, Lemma 3.2] and [19, Theorem 3.3] on the wonderful compactification, to any regular embedding.

Using the above structure of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ and applying ([15, Theorem 4.2]), in Section 3, we describe the ordinary K-ring of X. More precisely, we prove in this section that the subring generated by $Pic(X)$ in $K(X)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+):=\mathbb{Z}\otimes_{R(\widetilde{G})}K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)$. Further, in Theorem 3.1, we show that $K(X)$ is a free module of rank $|W|$ over $\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)$. We finally construct an explicit basis of $K(X)$ over $\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)$ and also determine the multiplicative structure constants with respect to this basis. It is worth noticing here that $\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)=\mathbb{Z}\otimes_{R(\widetilde{G})}K_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{G}\times^{\widetilde{T}}\overline{T}^+)=K(\widetilde{G}\times^{\widetilde{T}}\overline{T}^+)=K(G\times^B\overline{T}^+)$ where B acts on $\overline{T}^+$ via its quotient T. In other words, $\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)$ is the Grothendieck ring of the toric bundle over G/B associated with $\overline{T}^+$. In particular, when X is the wonderful compactification of G_{ad}, $\mathcal{R}(\overline{T_{ad}}^+)$ can be identified with $K(G/B)$. Thus we get back [19, Theorem 3.12] in this case.

In Section 3.1 we compare the equivariant and ordinary K-ring of any regular embedding with that of the wonderful compactification, using the canonical surjective morphism $f:X\to\overline{G_{ad}}$. We prove that $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ is a tensor product of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$ (where the $\widetilde{G}$ action on $\overline{G_{ad}}$

is via its quotient G^{ss}) and the subalgebra generated by $Pic_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$, over the subalgebra of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$ generated by $Pic_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$. The corresponding statement for the ordinary K-ring is analogous. Indeed, we give an explicit presentation for $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ as a $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$-algebra and $K(X)$ as a $K(\overline{G_{ad}})$-algebra see Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.

Finally, Section 4 is an appendix on the equivariant K-theory of toric bundles with fibre a smooth semi-projective toric variety. We take the left action of a connected complex reductive algebraic group G on the total space and the base, and assume the bundle projection to be G-invariant. In Theorem 4.1 we give a presentation of the G-equivariant Grothendieck ring of the toric bundle as an algebra over the G-equivariant Grothendieck ring of the base.

This result is applied to give a nice interpretation of the presentations of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ as a $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$-algebra and $K(X)$ as a $K(\overline{G_{ad}})$-algebra obtained in Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 of §3.1. Indeed it follows by Theorem 4.1 that $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ as a $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$-algebra and $K(X)$ as a $K(\overline{G_{ad}})$-algebra are respectively isomorphic to the $\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}$-equivariant and ordinary Grothendieck ring of a canonical toric bundle over $\overline{G_{ad}}$ with fiber the toric variety $\overline{T}^{+}$ (see Corollary 3.4).

Acknowledgement: I am grateful to Prof.Michel Brion for a careful reading and several invaluable comments and suggestions on the earlier versions of this manuscript.

1.1. **Preliminaries on K-theory.** Let X be a smooth projective complex G-variety. Let $K_G(X)$ and $K_T(X)$ denote the Grothendieck groups of G and T-equivariant coherent sheaves on X respectively. Recall that $K_T(pt) = R(T)$ and $K_G(pt) = R(G)$ where $R(T)$ and $R(G)$ denote respectively the Grothendieck group of complex representations of T and G. The Grothendieck group of equivariant coherent sheaves can be identified with the Grothendieck ring of equivariant vector bundles on X. Further, the structure morphism $X \to Spec\ \mathbb{C}$ induces canonical $R(G)$ and $R(T)$-module structures on $K_G(X)$ and $K_T(X)$ respectively (see [15, Example 2.1]).

Let W denote the Weyl group and Φ denote the root system of (G,T). We have the subset Φ^+ of positive roots and its subset $\Delta = \{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r\}$ of simple roots where r is the semisimple rank of G. For $\alpha\in\Delta$ we denote by s_α the corresponding simple reflection. For any subset $I\subset\Delta$, let W_I denote the subgroup of W generated by all s_α for $\alpha\in I$. At the extremes we have $W_\emptyset = \{1\}$ and $W_\Delta = W$.

Let $\Lambda := X^*(T)$. Then $R(T)$ (the representation ring of the torus T) is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$. Let e^λ denote the element of $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] = R(T)$ corresponding to a weight $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then $(e^\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is a basis of the $\mathbb{Z}$ module $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$. Further, since W acts on $X^*(T)$, on $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ we have the following natural action of W given by : $w(e^\lambda) = e^{w(\lambda)}$ for each $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Recall that we can identify $R(G)$ with $R(T)^W$ via restriction to T, where $R(T)^W$ denotes the subring of $R(T)$ invariant under the action of W (see [15, Example 1.19]).

Now, from (1.1) it follows that $\widetilde{B} := \pi^{-1}(B)$ and $\widetilde{T} := \pi^{-1}(T)$ are respectively a Borel subgroup and a maximal torus of $\widetilde{G}$. Further, by restricting the map π to $\widetilde{T}$ we get the following exact sequence:

$$1 \to \mathcal{Z} \to \widetilde{T} \to T \to 1. \tag{1.2}$$

Let $\widetilde{W}$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ denote respectively the Weyl group and the root system of $(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{T})$. Then by (1.1), it also follows that $\widetilde{W} = W$ and $\widetilde{\Phi} = \Phi$. Further we have

$$R(\widetilde{G}) = R(\widetilde{C}) \otimes R(G^{ss}) \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$R(\widetilde{T}) \simeq R(\widetilde{C}) \otimes R(T^{ss}) \tag{1.4}$$

where T^{ss} is the maximal torus $\widetilde{T} \cap G^{ss}$ of G^{ss}.

Recall we can identify $R(\widetilde{G})$ with $R(\widetilde{T})^W$ via restriction to $\widetilde{T}$, and further $R(\widetilde{T})$ is a free $R(\widetilde{G})$ module of rank $|W|$ (see [16, Theorem 2.2]). Moreover, since G^{ss} is semi-simple and simply connected, $R(G^{ss}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ is a polynomial ring on the fundamental representations ([15, Example 1.20]). Hence $R(\widetilde{G}) = R(\widetilde{C}) \otimes R(G^{ss})$ is the tensor product of a polynomial ring and a Laurent polynomial ring, and hence a regular ring of dimension $r + dim(\widetilde{C}) = rank(G)$ where r is the rank of G^{ss}.

We shall consider the $\widetilde{T}$ and $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant K-theory of X where we take the natural actions of $\widetilde{T}$ and $\widetilde{G}$ on X through the canonical surjections to T and G respectively.

We consider $\mathbb{Z}$ as an $R(\widetilde{G})$-module by the augmentation map $\epsilon : R(\widetilde{G}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ which maps any $\widetilde{G}$-representation V to $dim(V)$. Moreover, we have the natural restriction homomorphisms $K_{\widetilde{G}}(X) \to K_{\widetilde{T}}(X)$ and $K_{\widetilde{G}}(X) \to K(X)$ where $K(X)$ denotes the ordinary Grothendieck ring of algebraic vector bundles on X. We then have the following isomorphisms (see [15, Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.2]).

$$R(\widetilde{T}) \otimes_{R(\widetilde{G})} K_{\widetilde{G}}(X) \simeq K_{\widetilde{T}}(X), \tag{1.5}$$

$$K_{\widetilde{G}}(X) \simeq K_{\widetilde{T}}(X)^W, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{R(\widetilde{G})} K_{\widetilde{G}}(X) \simeq K(X). \tag{1.7}$$

Let $R(\widetilde{T})^{W_I}$ denote the invariant subring of the ring $R(\widetilde{T})$ under the action of the subgroup W_I of W for every $I \subset \Delta$. Thus in particular we have, $R(\widetilde{T})^W = R(\widetilde{G})$ and $R(\widetilde{T})^{\{1\}} = R(\widetilde{T})$. Further, for every $I \subset \Delta$, $R(\widetilde{T})^{W_I}$ is a free module over $R(\widetilde{G}) = R(\widetilde{T})^W$ of rank $|W/W_I|$ (see [16, Theorem 2.2]). Indeed, [16, Theorem 2.2] which we apply here holds for $R(T^{ss})$. However, since W acts trivially on the central torus $\widetilde{C}$ and hence trivially on $R(\widetilde{C})$ we have

$$R(\widetilde{T})^{W_I} = R(\widetilde{C}) \otimes R(T^{ss})^{W_I} \tag{1.8}$$

for every $I \subseteq \Delta$, and hence we obtain the analogous statement for $R(\widetilde{T})$.

Let W^I denote the set of minimal length coset representatives of the parabolic subgroup W_I for every $I \subset \Delta$. Then

$$W^I := \{w \in W \mid l(wv) = l(w)+l(v)\, \forall\, v \in W_I\} = \{w \in W \mid w(\Phi_I^+) \subset \Phi^+\}$$

where Φ_I is the root system associated to W_I, where I is the set of simple roots. Recall (see [12, p. 19]) that we also have:

$$W^I = \{w \in W \mid l(ws) > l(w)\ for\ all\ s \in I\}.$$

Note that $J \subseteq I$ implies that $W^{\Delta\setminus J} \subseteq W^{\Delta\setminus I}$. Let

$$C^I := W^{\Delta\setminus I} \setminus (\bigcup_{J\subsetneq I} W^{\Delta\setminus J}). \tag{1.9}$$

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$ be an ordering of the set Δ of simple roots and $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r$ denote respectively the corresponding fundamental weights for the root system of (G^{ss}, T^{ss}). Since G^{ss} is simply connected, the fundamental weights form a basis for $X^*(T^{ss})$ and hence for every $\lambda \in X^*(T^{ss})$, $e^\lambda \in R(T^{ss})$ is a Laurent monomial in the elements $e^{\omega_i} : 1 \leq i \leq r$.

In [16, Theorem 2.2] Steinberg has defined a basis $\{f_v^I : v \in W^I\}$ of $R(T^{ss})^{W_I}$ as an $R(T^{ss})^W$-module. We recall here this definition: For

$v \in W^I$ let

$$p_v := \prod_{v^{-1}\alpha_i<0} e^{\omega_i} \in R(\widetilde{T}). \tag{1.10}$$

Then

$$f_v^I := \sum_{x \in W_I(v)\backslash W_I} x^{-1}v^{-1}p_v \tag{1.11}$$

where $W_I(v)$ denotes the stabilizer of $v^{-1}p_v$ in W_I.

We shall also denote by $\{f_v^I : v \in W^I\}$ the corresponding basis of $R(\widetilde{T})^{W_I}$ as an $R(\widetilde{T})^W$-module where it is understood that

$$f_v^I := 1 \otimes f_v^I \in R(\widetilde{C}) \otimes R(T^{ss})^{W_I}. \tag{1.12}$$

Notation 1.1.. Whenever $v \in C^I$ we denote $f_v^{\Delta\setminus I}$ simply by f_v. We can drop the superscript in the notation without any ambiguity since $\{C^I : I \subseteq \Delta\}$ are disjoint. Therefore with the modified notation [19, Lemma 1.10] implies that: $\{f_v : \ v \in W^{\Delta\setminus I} = \bigsqcup_{J\subseteq I} C^J\}$ form an $R(\widetilde{T})^W$-basis for $R(\widetilde{T})^{W_{\Delta\setminus I}}$ for every $I \subseteq \Delta$. Further, let

$$R(\widetilde{T})_I := \bigoplus_{v\in C^I} R(\widetilde{T})^W \cdot f_v. \tag{1.13}$$

In $R(T)$ let

$$f_v \cdot f_{v'} = \sum_{J\subseteq(I\cup I')} \sum_{w\in C^J} a_{v,v'}^w \cdot f_w \tag{1.14}$$

for certain elements $a_{v,v'}^w \in R(G) = R(T)^W \ \ \forall\ v \in C^I$, $v' \in C^{I'}$ and $w \in C^J$, $J \subseteq (I \cup I')$.

2. Equivariant K-theory of regular compactifications

In this section let X be a projective regular compactification of G. We follow the notations in Section 1 together with the following:

Let $\mathcal{F}_+(l)$ denote the set of maximal cones in the fan $\mathcal{F}_+$. Then we know that $\mathcal{F}_+(l)$ parametrizes the closed $G \times G$-orbits in X. By Prop. A1 of [3], $X^{T\times T}$ is contained in the union X_c of all closed $G \times G$-orbits in X; moreover all such orbits are isomorphic to $G/B^- \times G/B$. Hence $X^{T\times T}$ is parametrized by $\mathcal{F}_+(l) \times W \times W$.

Note that $\mathcal{F}_+$ is combinatorially complete (see [21, Definition 6.3]) since T acts on $\overline{T}^+$ with enough limits (see [19, Remark 2.4]). Thus by from [21, Theorem 6.7] it follows that $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)$ is a projective $R(\widetilde{T})$-module of rank $|\mathcal{F}_+(l)|$. This further implies by [11, Theorem 1.1], that $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)$ is a free module of rank $|\mathcal{F}_+(l)|$ over $R(\widetilde{T})$.

Proposition 2.1.. *There is a chain of injective morphisms of $R(\widetilde{G})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-algebras as given below:*

(2.15)

$$K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^{+})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})\subseteq K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)\subseteq K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^{+})\otimes R(\widetilde{T})\subseteq \prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_{+}(l)} R(\widetilde{T}_{\sigma})\otimes R(\widetilde{T}).$$

Moreover, the $R(\widetilde{T})$-algebra structure of $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^{+})$, induces an $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-algebra structure on $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$.

Proof: Note that we have a split exact sequence

$$1\to diag(\widetilde{T})\to\widetilde{T}\times\widetilde{T}\to\widetilde{T}\to 1, \tag{2.16}$$

where the second map is $(t_1,t_2)\to t_1t_2^{-1}$, and the splitting is given by $t\to(t,1)$.

Recall from [19, Theorem 2.1] that via restrictions to the $\widetilde{T}\times\widetilde{T}$-fixed points $(w,w')\cdot z_\sigma$ for $w,w'\in W$, $K_{\widetilde{T}\times\widetilde{T}}(X)$ consists of all families $(f_\sigma)(\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+)$ in $R(\widetilde{T})\times R(\widetilde{T})$, such that

(i) $f_{\sigma,ws_\alpha,w's_\alpha}\equiv f_{\sigma,w,w'}\pmod{(1-e^{-w(\alpha)}\otimes e^{-w'(\alpha)})}$ *whenever* $\alpha\in\Delta$ *and the cone* $\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)$ *has a facet orthogonal to* α*, and that*

(ii) $f_{\sigma,w,w'}\equiv f_{\sigma',w,w'}\pmod{(1-e^{-\chi})}$ *whenever* $\chi\in X^*(T)$ *and the cones* σ *and* $\sigma'\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)$ *have a common facet orthogonal to* χ*.*

(In (ii), χ *is viewed as a character of* $T\times T$ *which is trivial on* $diag(T)$ *and hence is a character of* T*.)*

Furthermore, by taking $W\times W$-invariants, [19, Corollary 2.2] and (2.16) above imply that, $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ consists in all families $(f_\sigma)(\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l))$ of elements of $R(\widetilde{T}\times\{1\})\otimes R(diag(\widetilde{T}))$ such that

(i) $(1,s_\alpha)f_\sigma(u,v)\equiv f_\sigma(u,v)\pmod{(1-e^{-\alpha(u)})}$ whenever $\alpha\in\Delta$ and the cone $\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)$ has a facet orthogonal to α.

(ii) $f_\sigma\equiv f_{\sigma'}\pmod{(1-e^{-\chi(u)})}$ whenever $\chi\in X^*(\widetilde{T})$ and the cones σ and $\sigma'\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)$ have a common facet orthogonal to χ,

where u and v denote respectively the variables corresponding to $R(\widetilde{T}\times\{1\})$ and $R(diag(\widetilde{T}))$ respectively. Further, recall from [19, Remark 2.4], that we have a canonical restriction homomorphism

$$K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^{+})\to K_{\widetilde{T}}((\overline{T}^{+})^{T})=\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_{+}(l)} R(\widetilde{T}_{\sigma}) \tag{2.17}$$

where $\widetilde{T}_\sigma$ denotes the stabilizer at z_σ and $R(\widetilde{T}_\sigma)=K_{\widetilde{T}}(z_\sigma)$. Moreover, (2.17) is injective and the image of $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^{+})$ can be identified with the subset of $\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)}R(\widetilde{T}_\sigma)$ consisting of elements (a_σ) such that $a_\sigma\equiv a_{\sigma'}\pmod{(1-e^{-\chi})}$ whenever $\chi\in X^*(T)$ and the cones $\sigma,\sigma'\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)$ have

a common facet orthogonal to χ. Furthermore, (2.17) is compatible with the canonical $R(\widetilde{T})$-algebra structure on $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)$, and the $R(\widetilde{T})$-algebra structure on $\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)} R(\widetilde{T}_\sigma)$ given by the diagonal map (also see proof of [19, Proposition 2.5]).

Thus $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$ can be identified with the $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-subalgebra of $\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)} R(\widetilde{T}_\sigma)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$, generated by the elements $(a_\sigma)\otimes b$ such that $a_\sigma - a_{\sigma'} \equiv 0 \pmod{(1-e^{-\chi})}$, whenever σ and σ' share a facet orthogonal to $\chi \in X^*(T)$.

Further, since $R(\widetilde{G}) = R(\widetilde{T})^W$, from the relations (i) and (ii) it follows that $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$ is a subring of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$. In particular, $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ is an algebra over $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$, and hence over $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$.

Furthermore, from the relation (ii) above, any element

$$f(u,v) \in \prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)} R(\widetilde{T}_\sigma)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$$

belonging to $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$, must in fact lie in $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$. Hence the inclusion $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X) \subseteq K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$ of $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-algebras.□

Theorem 2.2.. *The ring $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ has the following direct sum decomposition as $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-module:*

$$K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X) = \bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta}\prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})\cdot K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I. \tag{2.18}$$

Further, the above direct sum is a free $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-module of rank $|W|$ with basis

$$\{\prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})\otimes f_v :\ v\in C^I \text{ and } I\subseteq\Delta\},$$

where C^I is as defined in (1.9) and $\{f_v\}$ is as defined above. Moreover, we can identify the component $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes 1 \subseteq K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})^W$ in the above direct sum with the subring of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ generated by generated by $Pic^{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$.

Proof : Recall from Notation 1.1 that we have the following decompositions as $R(\widetilde{T})^W$-modules:

$$R(\widetilde{T}) = \bigoplus_I R(\widetilde{T})_I \tag{2.19}$$

$$R(\widetilde{T})^{W_{\Delta\setminus I}} = \bigoplus_{J\subset I} R(\widetilde{T})_J \tag{2.20}$$

Let

$$L := \bigoplus_{I\subset\Delta}\prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I. \tag{2.21}$$

For $I\subseteq\Delta$, the piece $\prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I$ in the above direct sum decomposition is a free $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-module with basis $\{1\otimes f_v^I\ :\ v\in C^I\}$, f_v^I as in (1.11). In particular, L is a free $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-module of rank $|W|$, and hence is free over $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$ of rank $|W|\cdot|\mathcal{F}_+(l)|$.

We observe that an element in $\prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I$, clearly satisfies the relations (i) and (ii), which define $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ as a subalgebra of $\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)}R(\widetilde{T}_\sigma)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$. We therefore have an inclusion $L\subseteq K$ of $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-modules, where $K:=K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$. Further, since $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)$ is an $R(\widetilde{T})$-algebra $L\subseteq K$ is also an inclusion as $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-modules.

Now, by [19, Lemma 1.6], it follows that K is a free module over $R(\widetilde{G})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$ of rank $|W|^2\cdot|\mathcal{F}_+(l)|$. Moreover, since K is a $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-algebra by Proposition 2.1, it follows that K is a projective module over $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$. (In view of [11, Theorem 1.1], and using the fact that $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(G)$ is free over $R(\widetilde{G})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$ of rank $|W|$, this further implies that K is free over $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$ of rank $|W|\cdot|\mathcal{F}_+(l)|$.)

Thus, $L\hookrightarrow K\to K/L\to 0$ is a short exact sequence of $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$ modules. Moreover, since K and L are projective as $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-modules, it follows that K/L is of projective dimension 1 as a module over $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$.

We now require to prove that $L=K$. This we do in the following lemma, using the inclusion $L\subseteq K$ as $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-modules.

Lemma 2.3.. *Let $t_\alpha:=\prod_{\beta\neq\alpha}(1-e^{-\beta(u)})\in R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$ for every $\alpha\in\Delta$. Then, the localization $(K/L)_{t_\alpha}=0$ for every $\alpha\in\Delta$.*

Proof : Let

$$M_\alpha := K_T(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(T)^{s_\alpha}\bigoplus(1-e^{-\alpha(u)})K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes e^{\omega_\alpha(v)}.R(\widetilde{T})^{s_\alpha}. \tag{2.22}$$

Further, $R(\widetilde{T})=R(\widetilde{T})^{s_\alpha}\bigoplus e^{\omega_\alpha}R(\widetilde{T})^{s_\alpha}$ for every $\alpha\in\Delta$ where ω_α is the fundamental weight corresponding to $\alpha\in\Delta$. Hence

$$K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T}) = K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})^{s_\alpha}\bigoplus K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes e^{\omega_\alpha(v)}R(\widetilde{T})^{s_\alpha} \tag{2.23}$$

as $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})^W$-modules.

Note that, after localizing at $t_\alpha = \prod_{\beta\neq\alpha}(1-e^{-\beta(u)})$, the only conditions defining $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ in $\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)} R(\widetilde{T}_\sigma)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$ are the one corresponding to α and the condition (ii). This fact together with (2.23), implies that $K_{t_\alpha}\subseteq (M_\alpha)_{t_\alpha}$.

Further by the following equalities

$$R(\widetilde{T})^{s_\alpha} = \bigoplus_{\alpha\notin I} R(\widetilde{T})_I \tag{2.24}$$

$$e^{\omega_\alpha}\cdot R(\widetilde{T})^{s_\alpha} = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in I} R(\widetilde{T})_I \tag{2.25}$$

and the definition of L, it follows that $L_{t_\alpha} = (M_\alpha)_{t_\alpha}$. Moreover, since $L_{t_\alpha}\subseteq K_{t_\alpha}\subseteq (M_\alpha)_{t_\alpha}$, it follows that $(K/L)_{t_\alpha}=0$ for every $\alpha\in\Delta$. $\square$

Since the projective dimension of $(K/L)=1$, by Auslander-Buchsbaum formula we know that $Supp\ (K/L)$ is of pure codimension 1 in $\mathrm{Spec}(R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G}))$. Hence $Supp\ (K/L)$ must contain a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of height 1 in $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$. Since $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$ is a U.F.D, $\mathfrak{p}=(a)$ for some $a\in R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$ and by Lemma 2.3 it follows that $\mathfrak{p}$ contains $1-e^{-\alpha(u)}$ and $1-e^{-\beta(u)}$ for $\alpha\neq\beta\in\Delta$.

This implies that a divides $1-e^{-\alpha(u)}$ and $1-e^{-\beta(u)}$ for distinct α and β, which a contradiction since $1-e^{-\alpha(u)}$ and $1-e^{-\beta(u)}$ are relatively prime in the U.F.D, $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$ (see [2, p.182]).

This contradiction implies that $K/L=0$ and hence $K=L$. This proves (2.18). We prove the remaining assertions of the theorem below.

Let $PL(\mathcal{F}_+)$ denote the piecewise linear functions on $\mathcal{C}^+$ that are linear on the subdivision $\mathcal{F}_+$. More precisely, $PL(\mathcal{F}_+) :=$

$$\{h:\mathcal{C}^+\to\mathbb{R}\mid \forall\,\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l) \text{ and } v\in\sigma,\ h(v)=\langle h_\sigma, v\rangle \text{ for some } h_\sigma\in X^*(T)\}.$$

By the parametrization of line bundles on spherical varieties (see [4, §2.2]) we know that the group of isomorphism classes of $\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}$-linearised line bundles on X is isomorphic to $PL(\mathcal{F}_+)$. Let $\mathcal{L}_h$ denote the line bundle on X corresponding to $h\in PL(\mathcal{F}_+)$. Then $\mathcal{L}_h$ is $\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}$-linearized and $\widetilde{B}^-\times\widetilde{B}$ acts on the fibre $\mathcal{L}_h\mid_{z_\sigma}$ by the character $(h_\sigma,-h_\sigma)$, where z_σ denotes the base point of the closed orbit Z_σ. Moreover, z_σ is also the $T\times T$-fixed point in $\overline{T}^+$ corresponding to $\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)$. Hence it follows that $L_h := \mathcal{L}_h\mid_{\overline{T}^+}$ is the $\widetilde{T}\times\widetilde{T}$-linearized line bundle on the toric variety $\overline{T}^+$ corresponding to the piecewise linear function $h\in PL(\mathcal{F}_+)$. In particular, $\widetilde{T}^-\times\widetilde{T}$ acts on the fibre $L_h\mid_{z_\sigma}$ by the character h_σ.

It suffices to show that the piece $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes 1$ in (2.18) is the subring of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ generated by $\{[\mathcal{L}_h] \ : \ h\in PL(\mathcal{F}_+)\}$, which is the subring generated by $Pic_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$.

Recall that under the canonical inclusion $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)\hookrightarrow\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)}R(\widetilde{T}_\sigma)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$ obtained via restriction to the base point z_σ of the closed orbit Z_σ, $\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)$, $[\mathcal{L}_h]$ maps to $(e^{h_\sigma}\otimes 1)_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(1)}$. Here we use the identification $R(\widetilde{T}\times\{1\})\otimes R(diag(\widetilde{T}))\simeq R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$ coming from (2.16).

Also note that via restriction to T-fixed points z_σ, $\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)\}$, the image of $[L_h]\in Pic^{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)$ in $\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)}R(\widetilde{T}_\sigma)$ is $(e^{h_\sigma})_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)}$. Moreover, it is also known that $Pic^{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)$ generates $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)$ as a ring (see [21, §6.2], where for $\rho\in\Delta(1)$, the piecewise linear functions $u_\rho(\sigma)$ on the fan Δ which generate $K_T(X(\Delta))$ as a ring, correspond to the classes of the T-equivariant line bundles L_{u_ρ}). Thus it follows that the image of $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes 1$ under restriction to $\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)}R(\widetilde{T}_\sigma)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$ is same as the image of the subring generated by $[\mathcal{L}_h]$ for $h\in PL(\mathcal{F}_+)$. Hence the theorem.□

Theorem 2.4.. *We have the following isomorphism as $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})^W$-submodules of $\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)}R(\widetilde{T}_\sigma)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$.*

$$\bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta}\prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})\cdot K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I\simeq\bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta}K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I=K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X).$$

More explicitly, the above isomorphism maps an arbitrary element $a\otimes b\in K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I$ to the element $\prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})\cdot a\otimes b$. In particular, the basis element $1\otimes f_v\in K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I$ maps to $\prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})\otimes f_v\in K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I$, for $v\in C^I$ for every $I\subseteq\Delta$.

Moreover, in $\bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta}K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I$ any two basis elements $1\otimes f_v$ and $1\otimes f_{v'}$ for $v\in C^I$, $v'\in C^{I'}$ $(I,I'\subseteq\Delta)$ multiply as follows $(1\otimes f_v)\cdot(1\otimes f_{v'})=$

$$\sum_{J\subseteq(I\cup I')}\sum_{w\in C^J}\Big(\prod_{\alpha\in I\cap I'}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})\cdot\prod_{\alpha\in(I\cup I')\setminus J}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})\Big)\otimes a^w_{v,v'}\cdot(1\otimes f_w). \tag{2.26}$$

Proof: Note that $\prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})$ is not a zero divisor in the integral domain $R(\widetilde{T})$ and hence in $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)$ which is a free module over $R(\widetilde{T})$. Thus each piece $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})\cdot K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I$, for every $I\subseteq\Delta$, as $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-submodules of $\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)}R(\widetilde{T}_\sigma)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})$. The isomorphism maps an element $a\otimes b\in K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I$ to the element $\prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})\cdot a\otimes b\in K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes$

$R(\widetilde{T})_I$. This additively extends to an isomorphism of $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-submodules of $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \otimes R(\widetilde{T})$:

$$\bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta} \prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)}) \cdot K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I \simeq \bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta} K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I. \tag{2.27}$$

Further, in the direct sum decomposition

$$K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X) = \bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta} \prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)}) \cdot K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \otimes R(T)_I,$$

the two basis elements $\prod_{\alpha\in I}(1-e^{\alpha(u)}) \otimes f_v$ and $\prod_{\alpha\in I'}(1-e^{\alpha(u)}) \cdot \otimes f_{v'}$, $v \in C^I$ $v' \in C^{I'}$ multiply to give

$$\prod_{\alpha\in I\cap I'} (1-e^{\alpha(u)}) \cdot \prod_{\alpha\in I\cup I'} (1-e^{\alpha(u)}) \otimes f_v \cdot f_{v'}. \tag{2.28}$$

Note that the right hand side of (2.28) belongs to

$$\prod_{\alpha\in I\cup I'} (1-e^{\alpha(u)}) \cdot K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \otimes R(T)^{W_{\Delta\setminus(I\cup I')}} \subseteq \bigoplus_{J\subseteq(I\cup I')} \prod_{\alpha\in J}(1-e^{\alpha(u)}) \cdot K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \otimes R(T)_J.$$

Thus using (1.14), (2.28) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{J\subseteq(I\cup I')} \sum_{w\in C^J} \Big(\prod_{\alpha\in I\cap I'} (1-e^{\alpha(u)}) \prod_{\alpha\in I\cup I'} (1-e^{\alpha(u)}) \otimes a^w_{v,v'} \Big) \cdot \prod_{\alpha\in J} (1-e^{\alpha(u)}) \otimes f_w). \tag{2.29}$$

Now, using the isomorphism (2.27), in $\bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta} K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \otimes R(T)_I$ the basis elements $(1 \otimes f_v)$ and $(1 \otimes f_{v'})$, $v \in C^I$ $v' \in C^{I'}$, multiply as in (2.26). □

3. Ordinary K-ring of the regular compactification

In this section we shall describe the ordinary K-ring of X using the results in Section 2.

Let $c_K : R(\widetilde{T}) \to K(G/B)$ denote the characteristic homomorphism which sends e^λ to $[\mathcal{L}(\lambda)]$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In particular, $c_K(e^\alpha)$ is $[\mathcal{L}(\alpha)]$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$. Further, we denote by $\overline{\lambda}_I \in K(G/B)$, the image of $\prod_{\alpha\in I}(1 - e^{-\alpha}) \in R(\widetilde{T})$ for every $I \subseteq \Delta$. Furthermore, let $\overline{f_v} := c_K(f_v)$ and $c^w_{v,v'} \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote the image under $c_K \mid_{R(\widetilde{G})}$ of $a^w_{v,v'} \in R(\widetilde{G})$, where $a^w_{v,v'}$ is as in (1.14).

Further, if

$$K(G/B)_I := \bigoplus_{v\in C^I} \mathbb{Z}[\overline{f}_v] \tag{3.30}$$

then we have

$$K(G/B) = \bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta} K(G/B)_I. \tag{3.31}$$

Recall from [21, Theorem 6.4] that we have the following Stanley-Reisner presentation of the $\widetilde{T}$-equivariant K-ring of $\overline{T}^+$:

$$K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \simeq \frac{\mathbb{Z}[X_j^{\pm 1} : \rho_j \in \mathcal{F}_+(1)]}{\langle X_F,\ \forall\ F \notin \mathcal{F}_+\rangle} \tag{3.32}$$

where $X_F := \prod_{\rho_i \in F}(1 - X_i)$ for every $F \subseteq \mathcal{F}_+(1)$.

Further, since (2.17) is compatible with the canonical $R(\widetilde{T})$-algebra structure on $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)$, and the $R(\widetilde{T})$-algebra structure on $\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}_+(l)} R(\widetilde{T}_\sigma)$ given by the diagonal map, it follows that as an $R(\widetilde{T})$-algebra $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)$ has the following presentation:

$$K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \simeq \frac{R(\widetilde{T})[X_j^{\pm 1} : \rho_j \in \mathcal{F}_+(1)]}{J} \tag{3.33}$$

where J is the ideal in $R(\widetilde{T})[X_j^{\pm 1} : \rho_j \in \mathcal{F}_+(1)]$ generated by the elements X_F for $F \notin \mathcal{F}_+$ and $(\prod_{\rho_j\in\mathcal{F}_+(1)} X_j^{<\alpha,v_j>}) - e^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$. Here v_j denotes the primitive vector along the edge ρ_j.

Let

$$\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+) := \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{R(\widetilde{G})} K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \tag{3.34}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}$ is an $R(\widetilde{G})$-module under the augmentation $\epsilon = c_K\ |_{R(G)}$: $R(\widetilde{G}) \to \mathbb{Z}$. Then it follows from (3.33) that

$$\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+) \simeq \frac{K(G/B)[X_j^{\pm 1} : \rho_j \in \mathcal{F}_+(1)]}{\mathfrak{J}} \tag{3.35}$$

where $\mathfrak{J}$ is the ideal in $K(G/B)[X_j^{\pm 1} : \rho_j \in \mathcal{F}_+(1)]$ generated by the elements X_F for $F \notin \mathcal{F}_+$ and $(\prod_{\rho_j\in\mathcal{F}_+(1)} X_j^{<\alpha,v_j>}) - [\mathcal{L}(\alpha)]$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$.

Theorem 3.1. *We have a canonical $\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)$-module structure on $K(X)$, induced from the $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \otimes 1$-module structure on $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ given in Theorem 2.4. Moreover, $K(X)$ is a free module of rank $|W|$ over $\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)$, $\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)$ being identified with the subring of $K(X)$ generated by $Pic(X)$. More explicitly, let*

$$\gamma_v := 1 \otimes [\overline{f}_v] \in \mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+) \otimes K(G/B)_I \tag{3.36}$$

for $v \in C^I$ for every $I \subseteq \Delta$. Then we have:

$$K(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{v\in W} \mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+) \cdot \gamma_v. \tag{3.37}$$

Further, the above isomorphism is a ring isomorphism, where the multiplication of any two basis elements γ_v and $\gamma_{v'}$ is defined as follows:

$$\gamma_v \cdot \gamma_{v'} := \sum_{J\subseteq(I\cup I')} \sum_{w\in C^J} (\overline{\lambda}_{I\cap I'} \cdot \overline{\lambda}_{(I\cup I')\setminus J}) \cdot c_{v,v'}^w \cdot \gamma_w. \tag{3.38}$$

Proof: By Theorem 2.2 we have the following direct sum decomposition of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ as an $R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-module

$$K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta} K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I. \tag{3.39}$$

Now, using the isomorphism

$$K(X) \simeq K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)\otimes_{R(\widetilde{G})\otimes R(\widetilde{G})}\mathbb{Z} \tag{3.40}$$

(see [15]) and (2.27) we get:

$$K(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta} \mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes K(G/B)_I. \tag{3.41}$$

Further, under the canonical restriction homomorphism

$$K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)\to K(X),$$

the subring generated by $Pic^{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ in $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$, maps surjectively onto the subring generated by $Pic(X)$ in $K(X)$. Hence by Theorem 2.2 it follows that in (3.41), the subring generated by $Pic(X)$ in $K(X)$ maps isomorphically onto the piece $\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes 1\subseteq \mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes K_{\widetilde{T}}(G/B)_\emptyset$.

Let $\gamma_v\in\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes K_{\widetilde{T}}(G/B)_I$ denote the element $1\otimes\overline{f_v}$ for $v\in C^I$ for $I\subseteq\Delta$. Then by Theorem 2.4 and (3.41), it follows that $K(X)$ is a free module of rank $|W|$ over the ring $\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)$ with basis γ_v for $v\in W$, where $\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)$ is identified with the subring of $K(X)$ generated by $Pic(X)$.

Further, recall from Theorem 2.4 that the multiplication of two basis elements $1\otimes f_v$ and $1\otimes f_{v'}$ of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)\simeq\bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta}R(\widetilde{T})\otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I$ is defined as $(1\otimes f_v)\cdot(1\otimes f_{v'})=$

$$\sum_{J\subseteq(I\cup I')}\sum_{w\in C^J}\prod_{\alpha\in I\cap I'}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})\cdot\prod_{\alpha\in(I\cup I')\setminus J}(1-e^{\alpha(u)})\otimes a^w_{v,v'}\cdot(1\otimes f_w).$$

Thus their images γ_v and $\gamma_{v'}$ in $\bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta}\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)\otimes K(G/B)_I$, multiply as follows:

$$\gamma_v\cdot\gamma_{v'} := \sum_{J\subseteq(I\cup I')}\sum_{w\in C^J}(\lambda_{I\cap I'})\cdot\lambda_{(I\cup I')\setminus J})c^w_{v,v'}\cdot\gamma_w. \tag{3.42}$$

We therefore conclude that the isomorphism

$$K(X)\simeq\bigoplus_{v\in W}\mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)\cdot\gamma_v \tag{3.43}$$

is in fact a ring isomorphism, where the multiplication of any two basis elements γ_v and $\gamma_{v'}$ for $v\in C^I$, $v'\in C^{I'}$ and $I,I'\subseteq\Delta$ is defined as in (3.42). □

3.1. **Relation with the equivariant K-ring of the wonderful compactification.** Recall from [3, Proposition A2] that we have a canonical surjective morphism $f : X \to \overline{G_{ad}}$ from the regular compactification of G to the wonderful compactification of G_{ad}, which further restricts to a morphism of toric varieties $g : \overline{T}^+ \to \overline{T_{ad}}^+$, which is also proper and surjective. Furthermore, f is equivariant with respect to the action of $\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}$, where $\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}$ acts on $\overline{G_{ad}}$ via the quotient $G^{ss} \times G^{ss}$.

In this section we relate using f, the $\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}$-equivariant and the ordinary K-ring of the regular compactification X, with the equivariant and ordinary K-ring of the wonderful compactification $\overline{G_{ad}}$ respectively.

It follows from (1.1) that G^{ss} is the universal cover of G_{ad}, and $T_{ad} := T^{ss}/C$ is the maximal torus of G_{ad}. Recall that $rank\ (G_{ad}) = rank\ (G^{ss}) = r$ which is the semisimple rank of G.

Further, recall from [8] and [4, §2.2] that on $\overline{G_{ad}}$ the isomorphism classes of line bundles correspond to $\lambda \in X^*(T)$. Indeed, the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ on X associated to λ, admits a unique $G^{ss} \times G^{ss}$-linearisation so that $(B^{ss})^- \times B^{ss}$ acts on the fibre $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mid_z$ by the character $(\lambda, -\lambda)$, where z denotes the base point of the unique closed orbit $G/B^- \times G/B$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i}$ is $G^{ss} \times G^{ss}$-linearised such that $(B^{ss})^- \times B^{ss}$ operates on $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i} \mid_z$, with the character $(\alpha_i, -\alpha_i)$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i}$ also admits a $G^{ss} \times G^{ss}$-equivariant section s_i whose zero locus is the boundary divisor D_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Further, since $\widetilde{G} = G^{ss} \times C$, the line bundles $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, in fact admit a $\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}$-linearization, by taking the $C \times C$ action to be trivial.

The ring $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ gets the structure of an algebra over the ring $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$, by pull-back of equivariant vector bundles along f. The following theorem explicitly describes this structure.

Theorem 3.2.. *The ring $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ can be described as a $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$-algebra as follows*

$$K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X) = \frac{K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})[X_j^{\pm 1} : \rho_j \in \mathcal{F}_+(1)]}{\mathfrak{J}} \tag{3.44}$$

where $\mathfrak{J}$ is the ideal in $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})[X_j^{\pm 1} : \rho_j \in \mathcal{F}_+(1)]$ generated by the elements X_F for $F \notin \mathcal{F}_+$ and $(\prod_{\rho_j \in \mathcal{F}_+(1)} X_j^{<\alpha, v_j>}) - [\mathcal{L}_\alpha]_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$. Here $[\mathcal{L}_\alpha]_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}$ denotes the class of the $\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}$-equivariant line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ in $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$.

Proof: Note that $\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}$ acts on $\overline{G_{ad}}$ via the quotient $G^{ss} \times G^{ss}$, so that $\widetilde{C} \times \widetilde{C}$ acts trivially on $\overline{G_{ad}}$. We therefore have the following

isomorphism of $R(\widetilde{G}) \otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-algebras

$$K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}}) = K_{G^{ss}\times G^{ss}}(\overline{G_{ad}}) \otimes R(\widetilde{C}\times\widetilde{C}). \tag{3.45}$$

From [19, Theorem 3.3], using the equalities (1.3), (1.4), (1.8), (1.13) and (3.45), it follows that the $\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}$-equivariant K-theory of $\overline{G_{ad}}$ has the following description as an $R(\widetilde{T}) \otimes R(\widetilde{G})$-module

$$K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}}) = \bigoplus_{I\subseteq\Delta} \prod_{\alpha\in I} (1 - e^{-\alpha(u)}) R(\widetilde{T}) \otimes R(\widetilde{T})_I. \tag{3.46}$$

(Note that in [19, Section 3], G and T denote G^{ss} and T^{ss} respectively and X denotes $\overline{G_{ad}}$.) We now see that (2.18) and (3.46) together imply the following isomorphism of $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \otimes 1$-algebras

$$K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X) = K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}}) \otimes_{R(\widetilde{T})\otimes 1} (K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+) \otimes 1), \tag{3.47}$$

where the $R(\widetilde{T}) \otimes 1$-algebra structure on $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$ is from the first factor in the direct sum decomposition (3.46). Indeed, $R(\widetilde{T}) \otimes 1$ is identified with the subring of $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$ generated by $Pic_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$. Under this identification, the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ in $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$ corresponds to $e^\alpha \otimes 1$ in $R(\widetilde{T}) \otimes 1$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$ (see [19, Theorem 3.6]). Moreover, since $K_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{T}^+)$ has the presentation given by (3.33) as an $R(\widetilde{T})$-algebra, the theorem follows. □

3.1.1. *Relation with the ordinary K-ring of the wonderful compactification.* The ring $K(X)$ gets the structure of an algebra over the ring $K(\overline{G_{ad}})$, via pull-back of vector bundles along f. The following theorem explicitly describes this structure.

Theorem 3.3.. *The ring $K(X)$ has the following presentation as a $K(\overline{G_{ad}})$-algebra:*

$$K(X) = \frac{K(\overline{G_{ad}})[X_j^{\pm 1} : \rho_j \in \mathcal{F}_+(1)]}{\mathfrak{I}} \tag{3.48}$$

where $\mathfrak{I}$ is the ideal in $K(\overline{G_{ad}})[X_j^{\pm 1} : \rho_j \in \mathcal{F}_+(1)]$ generated by the elements X_F for $F \notin \mathcal{F}_+$ and $(\prod_{\rho_j\in\mathcal{F}_+(1)} X_j^{<\alpha,v_j>}) - [\mathcal{L}_\alpha]$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$. Here $[\mathcal{L}_\alpha]$ denotes the class of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ in $K(\overline{G_{ad}})$.

Proof: Using the fact that $\widetilde{C}\times\widetilde{C}$ acts trivially on $\overline{G_{ad}}$, by (1.7) we have

$$K_{G^{ss}\times G^{ss}}(\overline{G_{ad}}) \otimes_{R(G^{ss}\times G^{ss})} \mathbb{Z} = K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}}) \otimes_{R(\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G})} \mathbb{Z} = K(\overline{G_{ad}}). \tag{3.49}$$

Again by (1.7),

$$K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X) \otimes_{R(\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G})} \mathbb{Z} = K(X). \tag{3.50}$$

Moreover, note that $[\mathcal{L}_\alpha]_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}$ restricts to $[\mathcal{L}_\alpha]$ under the canonical forgetful homomorphism from $Pic_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$ to $Pic(\overline{G_{ad}})$. The equality (3.48) now follows from (3.44), (3.49) and (3.50).□

Consider the principal T_{ad}-bundle P corresponding to the direct sum of the line bundles $\mathcal{V} := \bigoplus_{1\leq i\leq r} \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i}$ on $\overline{G_{ad}}$ (see [9, Section 5] and [1, Section 10]). Then P can be thought of as a principal T-bundle where T acts via its quotient T_{ad}. Then $P \times_T \overline{T}^+$ is a toric bundle with fibre $\overline{T}^+$. Moreover, since each $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i}$ is $\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}$-linearized, P is a left $\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}$-space where the bundle map $\pi : P \to \overline{G_{ad}}$ is $\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}$-equivariant for the canonical $\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}$-action on $\overline{G_{ad}}$. Moreover, the right T-action is compatible with the left $\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}$-action on P.

Corollary 3.4. *The ring $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(X)$ as a $K_{\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}}(\overline{G_{ad}})$-algebra and $K(X)$ as a $K(\overline{G_{ad}})$-algebra are respectively isomorphic to the $\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}$-equivariant and ordinary Grothendieck ring of the above defined toric bundle $P \times_T \overline{T}^+$ over $\overline{G_{ad}}$.*

Proof: Since X is a projective regular embedding of G and $\overline{T}^+$ is the inverse image of $\mathbb{A}^r$ under the canonical morphism $f : X \to \overline{G_{ad}}$, the restriction $g : \overline{T}^+ \to \mathbb{A}^r$ of the projective morphism f is a projective morphism of toric varieties. This implies in particular that $\overline{T}^+$ is a semi-projective T-toric variety.

Hence by Theorem 4.1 of §4 it follows that the right hand side of (3.44) and (3.48) in Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 are isomorphic respectively to the $\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}$-equivariant and ordinary Grothendieck ring of the toric bundle $P \times_T \overline{T}^+$ over $\overline{G_{ad}}$. □

Remark 3.5. Using techniques similar to [20, Section 4] we can alternately describe $K(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as

$$K(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \bigoplus_{v\in W} \mathcal{R}(\overline{T}^+)\cdot\gamma_v, \tag{3.51}$$

where $\gamma_v = [\mathcal{O}_{X^v}] \in K(G/B)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $v \in C^I$. Moreover, the multiplication of γ_v and $\gamma_{v'}$ for $v \in C^I$, $v' \in C^{I'}$ and $I, I' \subseteq \Delta$ is as defined in (3.42), where $c^w_{v,v'}$ are now the multiplicative structure constants of the opposite Schubert classes described for instance in [20, (3.82)]. Since this description involves the Schubert classes, it is more geometric in nature. However, we require rational coefficients for this description, since we use the lifts of the Schubert classes in $R(\widetilde{T})$ in the place of the Steinberg basis, and these lifts form a basis for $R(\widetilde{T})$ over $R(\widetilde{G})$ only after localizing at the augmentation ideal $I(\widetilde{G}) = \{a-\epsilon(a) : a \in R(\widetilde{G})\}$.

4. Appendix

4.1. **Semi-projective toric varieties.** In this section we recall the geometric definition and some essential properties of semi-projective toric varieties. Our description here is brief mainly fixing the notations and conventions necessary to state and prove the main result Theorem 4.1 in the next section (for details we refer to [13, Section 2]).

4.1.1. *The geometric definition.* For every scheme X there is a canonical morphism $\pi_X : X \to X_0$ to the affine scheme $X_0 := \mathrm{Spec}(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$ of regular functions on X. We call a toric variety X *semi-projective* if X has at least one torus-fixed point and the morphism π_X is projective (see [13, p. 501]).

There are equivalent characterizations of a semi-projective toric variety in terms of the combinatorics of its defining fan, and also via geometric invariant theory (see [13, Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7]).

4.1.2. *Combinatorial characterization.* In this section we describe the fan associated to a smooth semi-projective toric variety [13, p. 499].

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_d\}$ be a configuration of vectors in the lattice $N \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$. Further, let $pos(\mathcal{B})$ be the convex polyhedral cone in $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ spanned by the vectors in $\mathcal{B}$. A *triangulation* of $\mathcal{B}$ is a simplicial fan Δ in N, whose rays lie in $\mathcal{B}$ and whose support equals $pos(\mathcal{B})$. Moreover, the triangulation is said to be *unimodular* if every maximal cone of Δ is spanned by a basis of N. This is equivalent to the toric variety $X(\Delta)$ being smooth.

A *T-Cartier divisor* on a fan Δ is a continuous function $\Psi : pos(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{R}$ which is linear on each cone of Δ and takes integer values on $N \cap pos(\mathcal{B})$. It is further said to be *ample* if the function $\Psi : pos(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex and restricts to a different linear function on each maximal cone of Δ. Indeed, Ψ is the piecewise linear support function corresponding to an ample line bundle on $X(\Delta)$.

We say that the *triangulation* Δ of $\mathcal{B}$ is *regular* if there exists a T-Cartier divisor on Δ which is *ample*.

By [13, Corollary 2.7] which characterizes smooth semi-projective toric varieties, we recall that its associated fan Δ is a *regular unimodular triangulation* of a configuration of vectors $\mathcal{B}$ which spans the lattice N.

4.1.3. *Characterization via GIT.* Let Δ be a regular unimodular triangulation of $\mathcal{B}$ as above so that $X(\Delta)$ is a smooth semi-projective toric variety.

In this section we first outline the construction by which we realize $X(\Delta)$ as a projective GIT quotient of the complex affine space $\mathbb{C}^d$ by $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{d-n}$, which is an $(d-n)$-dimensional subtorus of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$. (see [13, Theorem 2.4]).

Let $M := \mathcal{X}^*(T) = Hom(N, \mathbb{Z})$ be the dual lattice of characters of T and $M_{\mathbb{R}} := M \otimes \mathbb{R}$. We have the $d \times n$ integer matrix

$$\mathcal{Q} := [v_1, \ldots, v_d]^T. \tag{4.52}$$

Consider the map $M \to \mathbb{Z}^d$ induced by the matrix $\mathcal{Q}$. Since Δ is smooth and has at least one maximal dimensional cone it follows that the cokernel of this map is identified with $\mathbb{Z}^{d-n}$ and is isomorphic to the Picard group of $X(\Delta)$ (see [10, Proposition on p. 63]). We therefore have an exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to \mathbb{Z}^d \to Pic(X) \to 0. \tag{4.53}$$

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}(., \mathbb{C}^*)$ to (4.53) gives us the exact sequence

$$1 \to G := (\mathbb{C}^*)^{d-n} \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^d \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \to 1. \tag{4.54}$$

Thus the group G is embedded as a $(d-n)$-dimensional subtorus of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ and hence acts on the affine space $\mathbb{C}^d$. Further, let $\theta := [L_\Psi]$ denote the class in $Pic(X)$ of the ample line bundle L_Ψ corresponding to the support function Ψ.

We assume the action of G on $\mathbb{C}^d$ to be linearized on the trivial bundle via the ample character θ of G. Then the geometric quotient of the semi-stable locus of $\mathbb{C}^d$ modulo G coincides with the toric variety $X(\Delta)$ (see [13, Section 2] or [7, Section 7.2 and Section 14.2] for details).

Conversely any projective GIT quotient of the affine space $\mathbb{C}^d$ modulo a subtorus G of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$, with respect to a G-linearization on the trivial bundle on $\mathbb{C}^d$ corresponding to a character θ of G, gives a semi-projective toric variety.

Indeed applying $Hom(., \mathbb{C}^*)$ to the inclusion $G \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ and using the fact that $\mathbb{C}^*$ is divisible, we get the following surjective map of abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}^d \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d-n} = Hom(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$. Let a_i be the image in $\mathbb{Z}^{d-n}$ of the standard basis element e_i of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ under this surjection. We then have the vector configuration $\mathcal{A} := \{a_1, \ldots, a_d\}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{d-n}$.

Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d]$ be the ring of polynomial functions on $\mathbb{C}^d$. Then S admits a grading by the semigroup $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{d-n}$, setting $deg(x_i) = a_i$. Further, we have a natural G action on S defined by $g \cdot x_i := a_i(g) \cdot x_i$ for $g \in G$, $1 \le i \le d$. A polynomial in S is homogeneous if and only if it is a G-eigenvector. Let S_θ denote the homogeneous

polynomials of degree θ. Then S_θ is a module over the subalgebra S_0 of degree 0 polynomials. Further, the ring $S_{(\theta)} := \oplus_{r=0}^{\infty} S_{r\theta}$ is a finitely generated S_0-algebra. The projective GIT quotient $\mathbb{C}^d//_\theta G = \mathrm{Proj}(S_{(\theta)})$ is then a toric variety that is projective over the affine toric variety $\mathbb{C}^d//_0 G = \mathrm{Spec}(S_0)$ (see [7, Section 14.2] and [13, Definition 2.2]).

4.2. **Equivariant K-theory of semi-projective toric varieties.** In this section we shall show that the result of [18, Theorem 1.2(iv)] on the structure of the Grothendieck ring of a toric bundle associated to smooth projective toric varieties, holds for toric bundles whose fibre is a smooth semi-projective toric variety.

We consider the following setting which is more general than the one considered in [18].

Let $\pi : E \to B$ be an algebraic principal T-bundle, where B is an irreducible, non-singular and noetherian scheme over $\mathbb{C}$. Let G be a connected complex reductive algebraic group. We let E and B be algebraic left G-spaces with π being G-invariant, so that the left G-action on E commutes with the right $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^l$-action.

Let X be a smooth semi-projective T-toric variety and Δ be its associated fan in N. Without loss of generality, for simplicity of notation we assume that $\{v_1, \ldots, v_d\}$ is the set of primitive vectors along the set of edges $\Delta(1) := \{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_d\}$ of Δ.

Let $V(\gamma)$ denote the orbit closure of the T-orbit O_γ of the toric variety X corresponding to a cone $\gamma \in \Delta$. Let U_σ denote the T-stable open affine subvariety corresponding to a cone $\sigma \in \Delta$.

Further, corresponding to every edge ρ_j, we have a canonical T-equivariant line bundle L_j on X. Moreover, on X there are trivial line bundles $L_u = X \times \mathbb{C}_u$ corresponding to characters $u \in X^*(T)$. Here the bundle projection $L_u \stackrel{h_u}{\to} X$ is T-equivariant where T acts on the fibre $\mathbb{C}_u$ via the character $\chi_u : T \to \mathbb{C}^*$. (see [10, Chapter 3]).

Consider the associated toric bundle $E(X) := E \times_T X$ where $X := X(\Delta)$ is a smooth semi-projective T-toric variety associated to the fan Δ.

We define $E(L_j) := E \times_T L_j$, the line bundle on $E(X)$ associated to L_j for $1 \leq j \leq d$. Also, let $E(L_u) = E \times_T L_u$ be the line bundle on $E(X)$ associated to L_u with the bundle map $[e, v] \mapsto [e, h_u(v)]$. Further, both $E(L_j)$ and $E(L_u)$ are both line bundles on B with the bundle

map $[e, v] \mapsto \pi(e)$. Furthermore, $E(L_j)$ as well as $E(L_u)$ are both G-linearized, where the G-linearization comes from the left G-action on E.

Associated to the T-stable subvarieties $V(\gamma)$ corresponding to a cone γ in Δ, we define the subvariety $E(V(\gamma)) := E \times_T V(\gamma)$ of $E(X)$.

Let x be a T-fixed point in X. Then the projection $p : E(X) \to B$ has a canonical section $s : B \to E(X)$ defined by $s(b) = [e, x]$ where $e \in \pi^{-1}(b)$, so that $p \circ s = id_B$. Thus the corresponding induced maps $s^* : K_G(E(X)) \to K_G(B)$ and $p^* : K_G(B) \to K_G(E(X))$ of the G-equivariant Grothendieck rings satisfy $s^* \circ p^* = id_B^*$. In particular, p^* is injective and $K_G(E(X))$ gets the structure of $K_G(B)$-module via p^*.

We have the following theorem on the G-equivariant Grothendieck ring of the toric bundle $E(X)$.

Theorem 4.1.. *The G-equivariant K-ring of $E(X)$ has the following presentation as a $K_G(B)$-algebra:*

(4.55)

$$K_G(E(X)) = \frac{K_G(B)[X_j^{\pm 1}]}{\langle X_F \ : \ F \notin \Delta \ ; \ \prod_{\rho_j \in \Delta(1)}(1 - X_j)^{<u, v_j>} - [E(L_u)]_G, \ \forall \ u \in M \rangle}$$

where $X_F = \prod_{\rho_j \in F}(1 - X_j)$. (Since $E(L_u) \to B$ is a G-linearized line bundle on B (as observed above), we can consider its class $[E(L_u)]_G \in K_G(B)$.)

We now fix some more notations and also recall necessary details about the structure of X and Δ before we go to the proof of the above theorem.

The T-fixed locus in X consist of the set of T-fixed points

$$\{x_{\sigma_1}, x_{\sigma_2} \ldots, x_{\sigma_m}\} \tag{4.56}$$

corresponding to the set of maximal dimensional cones

$$\Delta(n) := \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_m\}. \tag{4.57}$$

Choose a *generic* one parameter subgroup $\lambda_v \in X_*(T)$ corresponding to a $v \in N$ which is outside all hyperplanes spanned by $(n-1)$-dimensional cones, so that the fixed points of λ_v is the set $\{x_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, x_{\sigma_m}\}$ (see [5, §3.1]).

For each x_{σ_i}, we define the plus strata as follows

$$Y_i = \{x \in X \mid \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda_v(t)x \text{ exists and is equal to } x_{\sigma_i}\}. \tag{4.58}$$

Then

$$Y_i = \bigcup_{\tau_i \subseteq \gamma \subseteq \sigma_i} O_\gamma. \tag{4.59}$$

The union here is over the set of faces γ of σ satisfying the property that the image of v in $N_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathbb{R}\gamma$ is in the relative interior of $\sigma_i/\mathbb{R}\gamma$ (see [13, Lemma 2.10]). Since the set of such faces is closed under intersections, we can choose a minimal such face of σ_i which we denote by τ_i.

Further, if we choose $v \in |\Delta|$, then we have $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m Y_i$, which is the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition of the toric variety X with respect to the one-parameter subgroup λ_v. From (4.59) it follows that

$$Y_i = V(\tau_i) \cap U_{\sigma_i} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n-k_i}, \tag{4.60}$$

where $k_i = \dim(\tau_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Indeed, Y_i is an affine open set in the toric variety $V(\tau_i)$ corresponding to a maximal $(n-k_i)$-dimensional cone in $N/\mathbb{R}\tau_i$ (see [10, Chapter 3]).

Corresponding to the ample T-Cartier divisor Ψ on Δ, we have the moment map $\mu : X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ (see [13, p.503]). Further, the image of X under μ is identified with a convex polyhedron

$$Q_\Psi := \{v \in M_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathcal{Q}v \geq \psi\}$$

(see [13, p.500]) where $\psi := (\Psi(v_1), \ldots, \Psi(v_d)) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ as in (4.52).

Also, we can define the moment map μ_v for the circle action on X induced by the *generic* one-parameter subgroup λ_v by $\mu_v(x) := \langle v, \mu(x)\rangle$. Further, using μ_v, we can define an ordering

$$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_m \tag{4.61}$$

of the maximal dimensional cones so that

$$\mu_v(x_{\sigma_i}) \leq \mu_v(x_{\sigma_j}) \text{ implies } \sigma_i \leq \sigma_j. \tag{4.62}$$

Then the distinguished faces $\tau_i \subseteq \sigma_i$, with respect to this ordering satisfy the following $(*)$ property:

$$\tau_i \subseteq \sigma_j \text{ implies } i \leq j. \tag{4.63}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\overline{Y_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{j \geq i} Y_j \tag{4.64}$$

for every $1 \leq i \leq m$. This means that the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition of X is filtrable in the sense of [5, §3.2]. We can order the cells $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_m$ so that (4.64) holds or equivalently, each Y_i is closed in $Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup \cdots \cup Y_i$.

Let

$$Z_i := \bigcup_{j \geq i} Y_j.$$

By (4.64) we see that Z_i are closed subvarieties, which form a chain

$$X = Z_1 \supseteq Z_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Z_m = \{x_{\sigma_m}\}, \tag{4.65}$$

such that $Z_i \setminus Z_{i+1} = Y_i \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n-k_i}$. This defines an algebraic cell decomposition on X. In other words X is paved by the affine spaces Y_i for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Let $V_i := V(\tau_i)$ corresponding to the distinguished faces τ_i of the maximal cone σ_i for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $E(V_i) := E \times_T V_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Also $E(Y_i) := E \times_T Y_i$ and $E(Z_i) := E \times_T Z_i$.

We now prove the main theorem:

Proof: Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(K_G(B), \Delta)$ denote the ring defined in [18, Definition 1.1], where $r_i = 1 - [E(L_{u_i})]_G$ in $K_G(B)$ corresponding to the basis of characters $u_i \in X^*(T)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ dual to a basis $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ of N (we can renumber the edges so that the first n primitive edge vectors span a maximal cone and hence form a basis of N).

We have a canonical homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{R} \to K_G(E(X))$ of $K_G(B)$ algebras defined by $x_j \to 1 - [E(L_j)]$ for every $1 \leq j \leq d$. Now, by [18, Lemma 2.2 (iv)] we know that $x(\tau_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ span $\mathcal{R}$ as a $K_G(B)$-module. Thus if we show that $K_G(E(X))$ is a free $K_G(B)$-module of rank m with basis $[\mathcal{O}_{E(V_i)}]$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ (which are respectively the images of $x(\tau_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ under ψ) then it will follow that ψ is an isomorphism and we are done.

Claim: The classes $[\mathcal{O}_{E(V_i)}]$ $1 \leq i \leq m$, form a basis for $K_G(E(X))$ as a $K_G(B)$-module.

Proof of Claim: Firstly, from [21, Corollary 6.10(i)] and (3.33) above it follows that $K(X)$ is isomorphic to the ring $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$, with $r_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, $x(\tau_i)$ maps to $[\mathcal{O}_{V_i}]$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ under this isomorphism. Now, by [18, Lemma 2.2 (iv)] we know that $x(\tau_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ spans $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Thus $K(X)$ is spanned as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module by $[\mathcal{O}_{V_i}]$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. However, by [21, Corollary 6.10(ii)] we also know that $K(X)$ is a free abelian group of rank m, which is the number of maximal cones in Δ (this also follows by cellular fibration lemma [6, Lemma 5.5.1] since m is the number of cells in the algebraic cell decomposition (4.65) of X). Hence $[\mathcal{O}_{V_i}]$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ form a basis for $K(X)$ as a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module.

We now define $\varphi : K_G(B) \otimes K(X) \to K_G(E(X))$ by

$$\sum_{1\leq i\leq m} b_i \otimes [\mathcal{O}_{V_i}] \mapsto \sum_{1\leq i\leq m} p^*(b_i)[\mathcal{O}_{E(V_i)}]. \tag{4.66}$$

Now, it remains only to show that the $K_G(B)$-module homomorphism φ is injective and surjective. This we prove below.

Note that we have the filtration

$$E(Z_1) = E(X) \supseteq E(Z_2) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq E(Z_m) \simeq B \tag{4.67}$$

where $E(Z_i) \setminus E(Z_{i+1}) = E(Y_i)$ is an affine bundle on B. Indeed, the restriction of $p : E(X) \to B$ to $E(Z_i)$ and $E(Y_i)$ are also G-equivariant with respect to the left G-action on E and B. Then by the cellular fibration lemma [6, Lemma 5.5.1], for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to K_G(E(Z_{i+1})) \to K_G(E(Z_i)) \to K_G(E(Y_i)) \to 0. \tag{4.68}$$

We claim that the restriction of φ defines isomorphisms $\varphi_i : K_G(B) \otimes K(Z_i) \to K_G(E(Z_i))$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. We prove this by downward induction on i. This is trivially true for $i = m$, since in this case $E(Z_m) \simeq B$. Consider the commutative diagram

(4.69)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & K_G(B)\otimes K(Z_{i+1}) & \to & K_G(B)\otimes K(Z_i) & \to & K_G(B)\otimes K(Y_i) & \to 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{i+1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_i} & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \to & & K_G(E(Z_{i+1})) & \to & K_G(E(Z_i)) & \to & K_G(E(Y_i)) & \end{array}$$

where the bottom row is a part of (4.68) and the top horizontal row is obtained from taking tensor product of the exact sequence

$$0 \to K(Z_{i+1}) \to K(Z_i) \to K(Y_i) \to 0 \tag{4.70}$$

with $K_G(B)$. The exact sequence (4.70) is a consequence of the cellular fibration lemma in the case when the base B is a point. Moreover, by the Thom isomorphism [6, Theorem 5.4.11] $p^* : K_G(B) \simeq K_G(E(Y_i))$ is an isomorphism. Furthermore, since $K(Y_i) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (see for example [11]), it follows that the homomorphism $K_G(B) \otimes K(Y_i) \to K_G(E(Y_i))$ in (4.69) is an isomorphism. Therefore if we assume the φ_{i+1} is surjective then it follows by diagram chase that φ_i is surjective. Similarly if we assume that φ_{i+1} is injective, then since the bottom horizontal row is left exact by (4.68), it follows again by diagram chase that φ_i is injective. $\square$

References

[1] E. Bifet, C. De Concini and C. Procesi, *Cohomology of regular embeddings*, Adv. Math. **82** (1990), 1-34.

[2] N.Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie,* Chapitres 4, 5 et 6.- Paris, Hermann, 1968 (*Act. scient. et ind., 1337; Bourbaki,* 34).

[3] M. Brion, *The behaviour at infinity of the Bruhat decomposition*, Comment. Math. Helv. **73** (1998), 137-174.

[4] M. Brion, *Groupe de Picard et nombres caracteristiques des varietes spheriques*, Duke Math. J.**58**, No. 2, 397-424, 1989.

[5] M. Brion, *Equivariant Chow groups for torus actions*, Transformation Groups **2**, No. 3, 225-267, 1997.

[6] N.Chriss and V.Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhauser (1997).

[7] D. A. Cox, J. B. Little and H.K.Schenck, *Toric Varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **124**, American Mathematical Society, 2011.

[8] C. De Concini and C. Procesi, *Complete symmetric varieties*, Invariant Theory (Proceedings, Montecatini 1982), Lecture Note in Math. **996**, 1-44, Springer-Verlag, New York 1983.

[9] C. De Concini and C. Procesi, *Complete symmetric varieties, II: Intersection theory*, Algebraic Groups and related topics (R. Hotta, ed.), Adv. Studies in Pure Math., **6**, pp 481-513, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.

[10] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Mathematical Studies **131**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey (1993).

[11] J. Gubeladze, *K-theory of affine toric varieties*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications **1** (1999), 135-145.

[12] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge University Press (1990).

[13] T. Hausel and B. Strumfels , *Toric Hyperkahler Varieties*, Documenta Mathematica **7**(2002), 495-534.

[14] B. Iversen, *The Geometry of Algebraic Groups*, Adv. Math. **20** (1976), 57-85.

[15] A. S. Merkurjev, *Comparison between equivariant and ordinary K-theory of algebraic varieties*, Algebra i Anliz **9** (1997), 175-214. Translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **9** (1998), 815-850.

[16] R. Steinberg, *On a theorem of Pittie*, Topology **14** (1975), 173-177.

[17] E. Strickland, *Equivariant cohomology of the wonderful group compactification*, J. Algebra, **306** (2006), 610-621.

[18] P.Sankaran and V.Uma, *Cohomology of toric bundles*, Comment. Math. Helv., **78** (2003), 540-554. Errata, **79** (2004), 840-841.

[19] V. Uma, *Equivariant K-theory of compactifications of algebraic groups*, Transformation Groups **12** (2007), 371-406.

[20] V.Uma, *Equivariant K-theory of flag varieties revisited and related results*, Colloq. Math. **132** (2013), 151-175.

[21] G.Vezzosi and A.Vistoli, *Higher algebraic K-theory for actions of diagonalizable groups*, Invent. Math. **153** (2003), 1-44.

Department of Mathematics, IIT Madras, Chennai, India
E-mail address: vuma@iitm.ac.in